

Natural Resources Conservation Service

**Application Ranking Summary
Chaves-Hagerman-Dexter SWCD**

Program:	Ranking Date:	Application Number:
Ranking Tool: Chaves-Hagerman-Dexter SWCD		Applicant:
Final Ranking Score:		Address:
Planner:		Telephone:
Farm Location:		

National Priorities Addressed

Issue Questions	Responses
1. Will the treatment you intend to implement using EQIP result in considerable reductions of non-point source pollution, such as nutrients, sediment, pesticides, excess salinity in impaired watersheds consistent with TMDL's where available as well as the reduction of groundwater contamination or point source such as contamination from confined animal feeding operations?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
2. Will the treatment you intend to implement using EQIP result in the conservation of a considerable amount of ground or surface water resources?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
3. Will the treatment you intend to implement using EQIP result in a considerable reduction of emissions, such as particulate matter, nitrogen oxides (NOx), volatile organic compounds, and ozone precursors and depleters that contribute to air quality impairment violations of National Ambient Air Quality Standards?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
4. Will the treatment you intend to implement using EQIP result in a considerable reduction in soil erosion and sedimentation from unacceptable levels on agricultural land?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
5. Will the treatment you intend to implement using EQIP result in a considerable increase in the promotion of at-risk species habitat conservation?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>

State Issues Addressed

Issue Questions	Responses
1. Irr. Crop #1 - Treatment of this land will have a beneficial impact on a 303(d) listed stream segment?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
2. Irr. Crop #2 - Treatment of this land will enhance the benefits of an active section 319 project?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
3. Irr. Crop #3 - This land is within a NMED Category I watershed?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
4. Irr. Crop #4 - Habitat for an at-risk species will be protected/enhanced?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
5. Irr. Crop #5 - Noxious weeds are present and will be treated?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
6. Grazing #1 - Treatment of this land will have a beneficial impact on a 303(d) listed stream segment?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
7. Grazing #2 - Treatment of this land will enhance the benefits of an active section 319 project?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
8. Grazing #3 - This land is within a NMED Category I watershed?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
9. Grazing #4 - Habitat for an at-risk species will be protected/enhanced?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
10. Grazing #5 - Noxious weeds are present and will be treated?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>

Local Issues Addressed

Issue Questions	Responses
1. Graze#1- Are practices planned in 2 years or less?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
2. Graze#2- Does the applicant have a favorable history of completing contract work? (if n/a yes)	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
3. Graze#3- Will fence be installed that meets 80% or more of needed?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
4. Graze#4- Will fence be installed that meets less than 80% of needed?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
5. Graze#5- Will pumping plant be installed that meets 80% or more of needed?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
6. Graze#6- Will pumping plant be installed that meets less than 80% of needed?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
7. Graze#7- Will Well be installed that meets 80% or more of needed?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
8. Graze#8- Will Well be installed that meets less than 80% of needed?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
9. Graze#9- Will pipeline be installed that meets 80% or more of needed?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>

10. Graze#10- Will pipeline be installed that meets less than 80% of needed?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
11. Graze#11- Will water facility be installed that meets 80% or more of needed?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
12. Graze#12- Will water facility be installed that meets less than 80% of needed?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
13. Graze#13- Will brush management be installed that meets 50% or more of needed?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
14. Graze#14- Will brush management be installed that meets less than 50% of needed?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
15. Graze#15- Is the rangeland health score 100-145?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
16. Graze#16- Is the rangeland health score 71-99?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
17. Irrigated#1- Are practices planned for 2 years or less?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
18. Irrigated#2- Will the system installed discontinue the use of an irrigation reservoir?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
19. Irrigated#3- Does the applicant have a favorable history in completing contract work? (if n/a yes)	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
20. Irrigated#4- Is nutrient management being applied or will be applied during the contract based on soil testing?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
21. Irrigated#5- Will practices result in a net increase in irrigation efficiency of 5-10%?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
22. Irrigated#6- Will practices result in a net increase in irrigation efficiency of 10-20%?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
23. Irrigated#7- Will practices result in a net increase in irrigation efficiency of 20-30%?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
24. Irrigated#8- Will practices result in a net increase in irrigation efficiency of 30-40%?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
25. Irrigated#9- Will practices result in a net increase in irrigation efficiency of greater than 40%?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
26. Irrigated#10- Is a LESA/LEPA or drip system being installed?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
27. Irrigated#11- Is a low pressure solid set sprinkler being installed?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
28. Irrigated#12- Is a structure for water control being installed?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
29. Irrigated#13- Is a irrigation pipeline being installed?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>

Land Use:

Resource Concerns	Practices
-------------------	-----------

Ranking Score

Efficiency: Local Issues: State Issues: National Issues: Final Ranking Score:
--

This ranking report is for your information. It does not in any way guarantee funding. When funding becomes available, you will be notified if your application is selected for funding. Some changes to the application may be required before a final contract is awarded.

NRCS Designated Conservationist:	Applicant Signature Required for Contract Development:
Signature Date:	Signature Date: